


Klamath River Basin Fish Mortality Response Plan



**Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team
(KFHAT)**

DRAFT

June 13, 2005

INTRODUCTION.....	1
<i>Who is KFHat?</i>	1
<i>Goals of the Klamath River Basin Fish Mortality Response Plan</i>	1
INFORMATION SHARING	2
<i>Readiness Levels</i>	2
PROCESS AND PROCEDURES FOR FISH KILL EVENTS	3
<i>Notification Process and Zones of Lead Responsibility</i>	3
<i>Incident Command System</i>	5
<i>Safety</i>	5
<i>Initial Response of Participating Investigating Agencies</i>	6
<i>Response to Hazardous Spills</i>	7
<i>Response to Other Fish Mortality Events – Not Hazardous Materials Related</i>	7
<i>Identify REACTIVE emergency management actions</i>	8
<i>Media Relations</i>	8
<i>Data Responsibilities</i>	8
POST EVENT ACTIVITIES.....	9
<i>Post-event Report</i>	9
<i>Identify PROACTIVE management actions</i>	9
APPENDICES	11

June 13, 2005

INTRODUCTION

The Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team (KFHAT) is a technical workgroup which formed during the summer of 2003 with the purpose of providing early warning and a coordinated response effort to avert, or respond to a fish kill event in the Klamath River basin such as occurred in the Fall of 2002. To accomplish this goal, KFHat created a network through which information about current river and fish health conditions in the Klamath basin can be quickly shared among participants, the general public, and resource managers. The timely dissemination of information on river conditions from a technical workgroup such as KFHat to resource managers and other policy makers is imperative for rapid and well-informed decision making. In addition to information sharing and coordinating response efforts, KFHat members are attempting to better understand the specific conditions and warning signs which may lead to a fish kill in the Klamath basin. The group recognizes that adult and juvenile fish kills affect the overall viability of salmon populations in the Klamath basin and that managing controllable factors associated with water storage, use, and distribution may ameliorate conditions that result in fish kills.

Who is KFHat?

KFHAT was initiated by the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board in the summer of 2003 and is made up of agencies, tribes, private organizations, and other interested individuals that share a concern for fish health in the anadromous portions of the Klamath basin. Attendees have included representatives of the following:

- California Department of Fish and Game
- Hoopa Valley Tribe
- Humboldt Watershed Council
- Karuk Tribe
- Klamath Salmon Anglers and Guides Association
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Fisheries (National Marine Fisheries Service)
- North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
- PacifiCorp
- Quartz Valley Tribe
- Salmon River Restoration Council
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
- U.S. Coast Guard
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Geological Survey
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Forest Service
- Yurok Tribe

Goals of the Klamath River Basin Fish Mortality Response Plan

The purpose of this Klamath River Basin Fish Mortality Response Plan (Response Plan) is development of an effective Fish Kill Response and Monitoring Network (FKRMN) that can be mobilized in a timely manner to evaluate fish health problems, mortality and associated causes throughout the Klamath River mainstem and tributaries, and to better understand and alleviate or altogether avoid large-scale fish kills in the future. This Response Plan aims to:

1. Serve as an initial guide for response to fish kill events
2. Identify participants of the FKRMN and lead agency responsibilities
3. Provide guidelines for documenting the magnitude and extent of the event
4. Provide guidelines for data responsibilities and post-event analysis and reporting
5. Give direction to FKRMN to identify potential mitigation efforts to stop or reduce a fish kill utilizing existing agency infrastructure and responsibilities

June 13, 2005

6. Reflect that KFHAT will work to develop recommendations to prevent Klamath basin fish kills from occurring, using a growing body of data, empirical information, and available literature.

INFORMATION SHARING

In order to determine the cause of a fish kill it is often necessary to collect background information or baseline data on water quality, hydraulics, meteorology, fish behavior, and fish pathology. Normally this data is collected at varying time scales and locations for purposes other than fish kill surveillance. Each of these data sources involves varying degrees of quality control and assurance. Some of these monitoring efforts may ultimately serve as warning indicators or triggers for response action, especially if they are reported in near real-time.

There are multiple agencies/organizations throughout the Klamath River basin, many of whom are participants of KFHAT, measuring or monitoring various water quality parameters, hydrology, fish immigration/emigration, fish health, and more. Some of the data collected in these efforts may be extremely valuable warning indicators of deteriorating conditions and increased risk of a pending fish kill and may even provide the framework for monitoring various attributes in the event of a kill.

The KFHAT should work with investigators throughout the basin to identify the most useful indicators of fish health and water quality that are currently being monitored, and foster real-time or near real-time data sharing where appropriate. As needed, KFHAT should identify ways to improve the usefulness of ancillary monitoring efforts for indication of environmental condition as it relates to fish kill risk, and work with cooperating entities to identify data needs and add or modify investigations as needed.

Readiness Levels

KFHAT will utilize existing information being collected throughout the Klamath basin, as discussed above, to determine the current conditions in the Klamath River and its tributaries. The following four level system of readiness will be used to communicate these conditions and the associated threat of a fish kill among KFHAT members, resource managers, and to the public:

1. Green

- River conditions appear satisfactory, fish appear healthy, no immediate problems foreseen
- Frequent data sharing is not as crucial at this level, but continue to observe and document conditions

2. Yellow

- Conditions suggest the need for heightened awareness, such as observation of increased fish morbidity or mortality
- Data sharing among KFHAT and resource managers becomes important on a frequent basis, using email and phone contacts
- The Response Plan procedures should be reviewed and responders should be ready to take action if the situation escalates

3. Orange

- A kill is imminent and management levels in agencies need to be alerted
- KFHAT provides recommendations to management with basis for recommendations

June 13, 2005

4. Red

- A fish kill is occurring or expected
- Frequent data sharing is crucial and relies on quick and accurate information exchange by phone with follow-up documentation
- The Response Plan is fully implemented
- Immediate management actions may be needed to avoid further mortality


PROCESS AND PROCEDURES FOR FISH KILL EVENTS

A fish kill is defined as any event involving increased fish mortality over and beyond background levels. Normally this is associated with unusual circumstances and determined after consulting with experienced biologists. It often requires resources over and beyond existing monitoring personnel in order to determine the causes of mortality and document the associated damage. Fish kills normally do not include natural spawning mortality or incidental fishing mortality.

Fish kill events in the Klamath basin can be highly variable in intensity, geographic scale, and cause. As such, this Response Plan is intended to serve as a general guide to a process and does not contain exhaustive specifics on sampling techniques and protocols. Instead, this plan refers to initiating reconnaissance-level team discussions that must occur to finalize procedures prior to full deployment to the field. Additionally, this plan identifies, by geographic area, lead agencies responsible for coordinating fish kill response. Responders should refer to American Fisheries Society Special Publication 30: *Investigation and Monetary Values of Fish and Freshwater Mussel Kills* for specifics, and crew leaders should have fish kill response training.

Notification Process and Zones of Lead Responsibility

All fish kills should be reported immediately to the California Department of Fish and Game's CalTIP number: 1 (888) 334-2258. CalTIP will notify a local CDFG warden who will investigate the situation and contact the lead FKRMN coordinator from CDFG. When applicable, CDFG will then notify the tribal co-lead as pre-determined in this document by geographic area (Figure 1) through the phone tree identified in this Response Plan (Appendix A). California Department of Fish and Game will serve as the lead response agency for all fish kills within the basin and will serve as the co-lead on fish kills that occur on Tribal lands.



June 13, 2005

For fish kills that occur on Tribal lands the following Tribes along with their geographic area of responsibility will serve as co-lead coordinator:

- Yurok Tribe – Mouth of the Klamath River to Aikens Creek
- Karuk Tribe – Aikens Creek to Seiad Creek
- Hoopa Tribe – Trinity/Klamath confluence upstream to Tish Tang Creek

Upon notification of a fish kill, the lead coordinating agency or tribe will be responsible for notification of other members of the FKRMN utilizing the contact list in Appendix B. This contact list is not intended to be widely distributed. The individuals on the contact list represent their respective organization on KFHAT and/or can mobilize FKRMN resources within their organization to respond to a fish kill within anadromous portions of the Klamath basin.

The CalTIP phone number should be widely advertised through the use of the posters in Appendix C, which should be posted and distributed at public fishing and boating access points and campgrounds to inform the public and river users of the best means to report fish kills. There are also numerous agencies and organizations conducting ancillary studies throughout the basin, and the CalTIP number should also be well advertised among those agencies and their field personnel. The fish kill notification process is simplified by having it initiate only through CalTIP, and will reduce confusion among public river users and agency field personnel who are most likely to be the first to encounter a kill.

Incident Command System

Depending on the extent and severity of a fish kill, elements of the Incident Command System (ICS) will be used by the lead response entity. This system employs a Command Post with joint command and primary functions for safety, public information, and liaison to agencies and other support. The Command Post relies on four primary functional areas to coordinate activities: operations (what is being done), logistics (how to get it done), planning (what happens next, contingencies, supplies, etc.), and finance (keeping track of expenses, how to pay). Any or all of these may be appropriate for a fish kill response. One of the first determinations by the lead entity is to determine if ICS should be used and what elements are appropriate, and to review that as the response proceeds. Basic structure is provided in Appendix D.

Safety

First responders to a fish kill of unknown origin should treat the scene as if there were hazardous substances present. It is strongly encouraged that field staff responding to fish kills have hazardous substance safety training. If a hazardous material spill appears to be associated with a fish kill DO NOT enter the scene unless properly trained and equipped. Move to an uphill and upwind location and contact California Department of Fish and Game's CalTIP number: 1 (888) 334-2258 and request them to **contact Office of Spill Prevention and Response, and US Coast Guard National Response Center (phone #'s???)** for HAZMAT assistance. Defer to HAZMAT experts for determination of when it is safe to enter the scene.

Some identifying characteristics of a hazardous or toxic spill are:

- An over-turned vehicle, leaking drum, or other discharge
- Any chemical or petroleum type odor, or reports of such an odor

June 13, 2005

- Any reactions by people in the vicinity, including, but not limited to
 - excessive tearing
 - excessive perspiration or inability to perspire normally
 - any eye, skin, nose, mouth, or lung irritation
 - dizziness, blurred vision, numbness or any changes in the senses
- multiple species affected, including riparian vegetation and terrestrial insects
- bleaching of aquatic vegetation and substrate

Other training including first aid and swift-water rescue is highly recommended. Each agency is individually responsible for insuring all participating personnel have the appropriate safety training and personal protection equipment. Life vests should be worn by all personnel during any boating operation. First aid kits should be carried by all survey teams. Mobile phones and radios are strongly encouraged.

Upon mobilization of a response team, a Safety Officer will be designated per the Basic Incident Command Structure depicted in Appendix D. At a minimum the Safety Officer will set up check-out and check-in procedures to keep track of the teams and be able to initiate a rescue operation if needed.

Initial Response of Participating Investigating Agencies

This Response Plan recognizes that sampling techniques and protocols need to be tailored to specific events and relies on immediate initiation of reconnaissance-level surveys and response team discussions coordinated by the lead agency (determined geographically). Except in the case of very localized and relatively minor fish kills where reconnaissance responders might be able to fully characterize the event, team discussions must occur to finalize procedures and sampling strategies prior to full deployment to the field. Ideally, first responders would include expertise from each discipline (water quality, fish health, and sample design for carcass enumeration). Field data sheets for fish enumeration, water quality data collection, and a USFWS dichotomous key for fish kill investigations can be found in Appendices E-G.

First responders should refer to American Fisheries Society Special Publication 30: *Investigation and Monetary Values of Fish and Freshwater Mussel Kills* as a comprehensive guide for responding to a reported fish kill. But at a minimum, the following attributes should be investigated and recorded for use in the FKRMN sampling discussions that are to immediately follow reconnaissance:

- Water color/odor/clarity
- Is there an obvious point-source for any contaminant that may have caused the kill?
- Species/life stages that appear to be affected
- Note any perceivable affect on macro invertebrates
- Upstream and downstream limits of fish kill
- Note behavior and condition of live fish if any
- Does the kill appear to still be ongoing or are remaining live fish unaffected?
- Try to get a preliminary idea of the densities of dead fish and variability by potential strata for sampling strategy discussions (shoreline, bottom, floating, etc)

As soon as possible after reconnaissance, the lead responding agency is to convene sampling discussions with other FKRMN participants. Sampling strategies and roles and responsibilities of each participating agency should be clearly defined in these early discussions. Communication should be

June 13, 2005

maintained with all participants throughout the investigation and the participants should meet regularly or participate in conference calls to discuss progress/results/solutions. The frequency of FKRMN meetings will be determined by the circumstances surrounding the fish kill and will be event-specific.

Response to Hazardous Spills

Regulatory jurisdiction for agency response with regard to containment, clean up, and mitigation for spills of hazardous substances belongs to the State, U.S. Coast Guard, EPA, and/or land management agencies. Those responses and authorities are outside the purview of KFHAT and are not described in this document. Indeed, field investigators associated with this FKRMN SHOULD NOT enter the scene of a fish kill if the presence of hazardous materials is suspected until appropriately trained and equipped hazardous materials (HAZMAT) investigators have deemed the area safe. However, this network will be useful to document the severity and extent of a fish kill caused by a spill once it has been appropriately determined safe to enter the field and conduct the necessary surveys.

The following process should be used for response to a fish kill potentially caused by a hazardous spill.

1. First on scene notifies CalTIP
2. CalTIP notifies Office of Spill Prevention and Response, and US Coast Guard National Response Center
3. CalTIP notifies the lead FKRMN from CDFG
4. CDFG will then notify tribal co-leads (when applicable) and the FKRMN
5. Geographic lead communicates with HAZMAT responders, and organizes and conducts initial reconnaissance when it is safe to do so
6. Meet with fellow FKRMN members as soon as possible to share reconnaissance findings and begin sampling strategy discussions
7. Once HAZMAT responders determine area safe to enter, initiate monitoring and response
8. If possible, develop informed recommendations for emergency management action(s) based on preliminary findings (HAZMAT responders may develop emergency management action recommendations independent of this FKRMN. It is NOT the intention of KFHAT to subvert those recommendations if made)
9. Monitor biological and environmental response to emergency management action(s) if implemented

Response to Other Fish Mortality Events – Not Hazardous Materials Related

If a fish kill does not appear to involve a hazardous material release or oil spill, then the following process should be implemented.

1. First on scene notifies CalTIP
2. CalTIP notifies the lead FKRMN from CDFG
3. CDFG will then notify tribal co-leads (when applicable) and the FKRMN
4. Geographic lead initiates reconnaissance immediately
5. Meet with fellow FKRMN members as soon as possible to share reconnaissance findings, formulate sampling strategy, and initiate monitoring and response
6. If possible, develop informed recommendations for emergency management action based on preliminary findings or based on indicators from continuous monitoring
7. Monitor biological and environmental response to emergency management action(s) if implemented

Identify REACTIVE emergency management actions

If a fish kill is occurring or appears imminent, KFHat should meet or teleconference as soon as possible to determine if any possible management action has the potential to reduce, alleviate, or prevent the fish kill. In order to make informed recommendations, participants in these efforts will likely need to have near real-time data from the affected area at their disposal – timely data sharing will be crucial. If emergency relief or preventative actions can be identified, KFHat will communicate the situation and recommended actions to the appropriate management agencies. Representatives from the management agencies that might be called upon to provide an emergency action should be asked to participate in these discussions as early as possible.

If an emergency action is recommended, KFHat participants should develop a monitoring plan to quantitatively characterize response to the emergency management action (change in water quality, fish density, fish health, fish migration, etc). Empirical data gathered from such emergency events should be used whenever possible to contribute to the understanding of the relationships of management actions to fish health, fish migration, water quality, etc. Results from these actions and monitoring efforts will likely influence or form the basis for future response actions.

Media Relations

Media Relations should be handled primarily by the agency with overall coordination duties. Joint media releases that contain mutually agreed points should be encouraged.

Data Responsibilities

Each responding organization is responsible for the quality of data it provides to this effort. The usefulness of any data provided will ultimately depend on its accuracy, vigilant documentation of its collection and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures, and accurate description of any post-processing or analysis.

Certain KFHat participating organizations possess particular expertise that lend themselves well to assuming responsibility for coordinating collection of certain types of data and to serve as a central point for maintaining the data provided by all participants. Without subverting the role of geographically identified lead responding agencies, Table 1 below describes suggested leads for various disciplines.

Table 1: Fish Kill Response Monitoring Network Agency responsibilities - by discipline.

Agency	Overall Response Coordination and Final Report	Carcass Enumeration	Water Quality	Fish Health
NCRWQCB	NA	Assist as needed	Lead - all non-tribal lands, technical assistance on tribal lands	Assist as needed
CDFG	Klamath basin	Lead – All non-tribal lands, Co-Lead and technical assistance on tribal lands	NA	Technical assistance
Yurok Tribe	Yurok Tribal Lands	Co-Lead – Yurok Tribal Lands	Lead – Yurok Tribal Lands	Technical assistance
Hoopa Valley Tribe	Hoopa Valley Tribal lands	Co-Lead – Hoopa Valley Tribal lands	Lead – Hoopa Valley Tribal Lands	Technical assistance
Karuk Tribe	Karuk ancestral lands	Co-Lead – Karuk ancestral lands	Lead – Karuk ancestral lands	Technical assistance
USFWS	NA	Technical assistance	Technical assistance	Coordination
SRRC	NA	Technical assistance	NA	Technical assistance
USFS	NA	Technical assistance	Technical assistance	Technical assistance

POST EVENT ACTIVITIES

Post-event Report

After a major fish kill, the primary agency responsible for coordination will, as soon as possible, convene a post-event briefing. At this meeting, all responding agencies should be prepared to share preliminary data and information gathered during the fish kill. In addition, the group will attempt to identify the immediate factors contributing to the fish kill based on the available data.

In general, the coordinating agency will be responsible for preparation of a final report on the incident. The support agencies will be responsible for synthesis and analysis of data collected by their respective organizations. Each organization is strongly encouraged to participate in the preparation and review of the final report. However, each agency or organization reserves the right to provide differing opinions regarding the cause of the fish kill. To the extent possible, the final report should characterize what happened, describe the environmental conditions leading into and during the event, and quantify the impacts to fish and/or other resources. The report should also describe any knowledge or understanding gained as a result of the event regarding the relationship between resource management in the Klamath River basin and fish health or water quality. And if emergency management actions were implemented to alleviate the fish kill, the report should include preliminary evaluation of the effectiveness of the emergency response.

Identify PROACTIVE management actions

To the extent that can be determined, KFHat should work to identify the role that various management actions (water, fishery, hatchery, watershed management, etc) play in influencing fish

DRAFT – Revised KFHAT Fish Mortality Response Plan

June 13, 2005

health in the basin. Evaluation of the current state-of-knowledge and identifying data needs for better understanding of the above management roles should be an ongoing process and should ultimately serve to reduce the risk of fish kills in the future. When it can, KFHAT should make supportable recommendations regarding the long-term management of resources that affect fish health. Where appropriate, triggers for management response based on fish health, environmental conditions or other attributes should be identified and communicated to management agencies. Uncertainties should be acknowledged and data needs identified.

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Phone Tree for FKRMN

Appendix B – Contact List for FKRMN

Appendix C – Public Posting with Notification Information for Who to Contact in the Event of a Fish Kill

Appendix D – Basic Incident Command System Structure


Appendix E – Field Sheet – Fish Enumeration

Appendix F – Field Sheets – Water Quality Data Collection

Appendix G – USFWS Dichotomous Key for Fish Kill Investigations

APPENDIX A

PHONE TREE FOR FKRMN



APPENDIX B

CONTACT LIST- Agency Only

1. North Coast RWQCB - **Coordination of Water Quality Monitoring**, analytical laboratory support. 24 hr pager, 707-323-4945
 - Katharine Carter (707-576-2290, kcarter@waterboards.ca.gov)
 - Peter Otis (707-576-2662, potis@waterboards.ca.gov)
2. CDFG - **Lead Coordinator** for overall response in the basin and serve as Co-Lead Coordinator with the appropriate Tribe on all Tribal lands.
 - Sara Borok (707-822-0330, sborok@dfg.ca.gov) Klamath River and tributaries upstream of Seiad Creek
 - Mark Hampton (530-842-3109, MHampton@dfg.ca.gov) Klamath River and tributaries upstream of Seiad Creek
 - Wade Sinnen (707-822-5119, wsinnen@dfg.ca.gov) Trinity River and tributaries upstream of Tish Tang Creek
3. Hoopa Valley Tribe – Co-Lead **Coordinator** for overall response in **Hoopa Tribal lands** (Weitchpec to Tish Tang Creek), WQ monitoring, carcass enumeration
 - George Kautsky - 530-625-4267 ext 15. hupafish@pcweb.net
 - Mike Orcutt - 530-625-4267 ext 13. director@pcweb.net
4. Yurok Tribe – **Co-Lead Coordinator** for overall response in **Yurok Tribal lands** (Klamath River and Tributaries from Pacific Ocean to Aikens Creek), WQ monitoring, carcass enumeration. Yurok Dept. of Public Safety in Klamath 707-482-8185
 - Monica Hiner (Tribal Env. Prog) - 707-482-1350 ext 354; 707-954-8259 (cell); mhiner@yuroktribe.nsn.us
 - Ryan Benson (fisheries) 707-498-1074, ryanlbenson@hotmail.com
 - Ken Fetcho (water quality) – 707 954-1523; ken@yurok.com
 - Kevin McKernan (water quality) – 707 834-2536; Kevin@yurok.com
5. Karuk Tribe- **Co-Lead Coordinator** for overall response in **Karuk Ancestral Tribal lands** (Aikens Creek to Seiad Creek), WQ monitoring, carcass enumeration
 - Toz Soto - 530-627-3116 ext 46
 - Ron Reed - 530-627-3446 ext 24
 - Susan Corum – 530-469-3456,
6. Quartz Valley Indian Tribe - Field support as needed
 - Rebeka Sluss – 530-468-5907
7. US Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR) – Technical assistance, hydrology
 - Rich Piaskowski, Medford – 541-883-6935
 - Bob Davis, Klamath Falls – 541-880-2545 (direct), 541-883-6935 (main office), rdavis@mp.usbr.gov

DRAFT – Revised KFHat Fish Mortality Response Plan

June 13, 2005

- Ron Sutton, 303-445-2495. rsutton@do.usbr.gov
 - Dave Sabo, 541-880-2554
 - Christine Karas, 541-880-2555
 - Russ Smith, Trinity Division –
 - Mike Ryan, Trinity Division –
8. US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) - **Coordination of Fish Health Monitoring**, technical and field support for WQ monitoring and carcass enumeration on Tribal and Non-Tribal lands. Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office (AFWO): 707-822-7201
- Mike Long, AFWO Field Supervisor – 707-822-7201; micheal_long@fws.gov
 - Charlie? Nick? Paul? Others?
9. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW)
- Roger Smity, 541-883-5723, roger.c.smity@state.or.us
10. NOAA Fisheries - Technical Support
- Jim Simondet – 707-822-7201
 - Rick Rogers – 707-825-5167
11. US Forest Service (USFS) - Technical Support
- Anita Andazola - Lower Trinity Ranger District – 530-629-2118 x 319 (w), 530-629-3757 (h); aandazola@fs.fed.us
 - Jon Grunbaum – Happy Camp – 530-493-1719
12. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) – Technical and laboratory support as needed
- Suesan Saucerman – 415-972-3522; saucerman.suesan@epa.gov
13. US Geological Survey (USGS) – Hydrology as needed
- Greg Susich –
14. California Department of Water Resources (DWR) – Hydrology as needed
- Curtis Anderson – 530-529-7348; curtis@water.ca.gov
15. PacifiCorp – Field support, hydrology as needed
- Linda Prendergast – 503-813-6625; linda.prendergast@pacificorp.com
16. Klamath Salmon Anglers and Guides Association – assistance as needed
- Wally Watson (Klamath R. Outfitters) – 530-469-3349
17. Other Useful Numbers
- California Highway Patrol Dispatch, Yreka – 530-841-6000
 - California Highway Patrol, Eureka –
 - California Dept. of Forestry, need local fire stations
18. Salmon River Restoration Council- Assistance in Salmon River and Mid Klamath River
- Petey Brucker – 530-462-4665, 530-598-4669 (cell), pbrucker@srrc.org

DRAFT – Revised KFHAT Fish Mortality Response Plan

June 13, 2005

- Jim Villeponteaux – 530-462-4665, jvptx@srrc.org
- Nat Pennington – 530-623-0883

Appendix C

PUBLIC POSTING WITH NOTIFICATION INFORMATION FOR WHO TO CONTACT IN THE EVENT OF A FISH KILL



Attention: **Community Members of the Klamath Basin**

Please report occurrences of numerous dead or sick fish in the Klamath River and its tributaries!!!

Large fish kill events occurred in the Klamath River during the summer months of 2000 (juvenile salmon), and 2002 (adult salmon). State, federal, private, and Tribal organizations have assembled a Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team (KFHAT) to assess and monitor river conditions and fish health in the Klamath Basin. KFHAT members have been trained to respond to fish kill events.

We would greatly appreciate local citizens, organizations, and agencies notifying us if you believe you may be witnessing a fish kill event. Fish kills typically occur in short periods of time and can result in high numbers of sick and dead fish. Fish kills can occur from a variety of causes, including disease and toxic chemicals. Quick response by trained people is important. **Individuals are cautioned not to attempt to examine fish or put themselves in harm's way during a fish kill event.**

Sick fish generally congregate at creek mouths and other coldwater refugia areas during stressful periods. Dead fish generally accumulate in eddy and backwater areas.



Call KFHAT. We will respond to the fish kill.

Please report any observations of large numbers of dead or dying fish to CalTip at the tollfree number below. Press "3" to talk to an attendant.

1-888-334-2258



Attention: **Community Members of the Trinity River**

Please report occurrences of numerous dead or sick fish in the Trinity River and its tributaries!!!

Large fish kill events occurred in the Klamath River during the summer months of 2000 (juvenile salmon), and 2002 (adult salmon). State, federal, private, and Tribal organizations have assembled a Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team (KFHAT) to assess and monitor river conditions and fish health in the Klamath Basin. KFHAT members have been trained to respond to fish kill events.

We would greatly appreciate local citizens, organizations, and agencies notifying us if you believe you may be witnessing a fish kill event. Fish kills typically occur in short periods of time and can result in high numbers of sick and dead fish. Fish kills can occur from a variety of causes, including disease and toxic chemicals. Quick response by trained people is important. **Individuals are cautioned not to attempt to examine fish or put themselves in harm's way during a fish kill event.**

Sick fish generally congregate at creek mouths and other coldwater refugia areas during stressful periods. Dead fish generally accumulate in eddy and backwater areas.




Call KFHAT. We will respond to the fish kill.

Please report any observations of large numbers of dead or dying fish to CalTip at the tollfree number below. Press "3" to talk to an attendant.

1-888-334-2258

APPENDIX D

BASIC INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM STRUCTURE (Can be expanded or contracted to fit the response need...dashed lines).



Appendix E

Fish enumeration field sheets.

Klamath River Fish Reconnaissance Survey Data Sheet

Page: _____ of _____

Crew:

Organization: _____

Date: _____

Phone: _____

Reach Des:

Start Air Temp: _____

Finish Air Temp: _____

Include general observations or clarification and if photo taken underneath each record.

[illegible]

Appendix F

Water Quality Data Collection Forms

The following forms are used in the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), and are designed for maintenance of data collection quality control.

For more detailed QA/QC procedures, refer to the SWAMP QA Project Plan at <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/swamp/qapp.html>.

SWAMP Station Occupation Results

*Station ID:

*Date:

*Project ID:

*Sample

Time:

(time of first sample)

*Sample Season:

Agency

M M D D Y Y Y Y

Arrival Time:

Departure Time:

PG: OF PGS

Entered in Dbase:
Date:

<p>Event Type FieldDescription</p>	<p>Sample Type FieldObs</p>	<p>SampleDepthCollection -88</p>	<p>DistanceFromBank -88</p>	<p>*SamplingCrew: </p>	<p>*Habitat non-wadeable waterbody wadeable waterbody wadeable concrete channel standing water lake, reservoir, openwater other <input type="text"/></p>
<p>Photos (RB & LB are assigned when facing downstream) Rename to: (StationCode_yyyy_mm_dd_uniquecode) RB/LB/BB/<input type="text"/> US/DS/##/<input type="text"/> RB/LB/BB/<input type="text"/> US/DS/##/<input type="text"/> RB/LB/BB/<input type="text"/> US/DS/##/<input type="text"/></p>	<p>*Precipitation dry drizzle rain thunderstorm</p>	<p>Sea State (if applicable): Calm Rough Choppy</p>	<p>*Sky Code clear partly cloudy overcast fog</p>	<p>Wind Direction (from) / no wind = xx : W <input type="text"/> N <input type="text"/> E <input type="text"/> S <input type="text"/></p>	<p>Wind Speed (kts) : <input type="text"/></p>
<p>*Water Color clear green yellow brown other</p>	<p>*Water Clarity clear semi-clear turbid</p>	<p>*Water Odor hydrogen sulfide sewage petroleum mixed none</p>	<p>*Sediment Color black brown gray yellow mixed other</p>	<p>*Sediment Composition course sand fine sand silt / clay cobble gravel mixed other hard pan clay</p>	<p>*Sediment Odor none hydrogen sulfide sewage petroleum mixed other</p>
<p>*Water Color clear green yellow brown other</p>	<p>*Water Clarity clear semi-clear turbid</p>	<p>*Water Odor hydrogen sulfide sewage petroleum mixed none</p>	<p>*Sediment Color black brown gray yellow mixed other</p>	<p>*Sediment Composition course sand fine sand silt / clay cobble gravel mixed other hard pan clay</p>	<p>*Sediment Odor none hydrogen sulfide sewage petroleum mixed other</p>
<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>	<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>	<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>	<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>	<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>	<p>*Observed Flow no observed flow trickle (<1 qt/sec) moderate (<5 gal/sec) high (>5 gal/sec)</p>

Station Occupation Comments

Access key required Yes / No

Contact Info:

Gaging Station #:

***Elevation (ft or m) :**

SWAMP Shallow Water Sampling Event									
*Station ID:									
*Protect ID:									
*Sample Season:									
PG:	OF	PGS							
Entered Dbase									
Date:									

[illegible]

Event Type	Sample Type	*Sample Device: Indiv. Bottle by hand Indiv. Bottle by pole sampler Indiv. Bottle by bucket sampler Teflon Tubing Kemmer Sampler Pole & Beaker other _____	*Occupation Method Walk In From Bridge R/V _____	*Sample Location Bank MidChannel Thalweg Open Water	*GPS / DGPS Nominal *Actual dec degrees	Lat Degrees	sec / hunds	Long Degrees	sec / hunds
WaterTox_Chem WaterChem WaterTox	Grab Integrated								
*Starting Bank: LB / RB (facing downstream)					Accuracy (ft / m)	5 decimals	*GPS Model:	5 decimals	NAD 83 other _____
					Datum:				
					*Station Water Depth		*Stream Width		

Sample	DepthCollect (m)	*Inorganics	*Bacteria	*Chl a/Boron	*TSS	*TOC /DOC	*Total Mercury	*Dissolved Mercury	*Dissolved Metals	*Total Metals	*Organics	*Toxicity	TIE
SUBSURF/MID/ BOTTOM													
ABOVE/THERMO/ BELOW				Vol Filtr: (ml)				Preservative time					
Integrated; ~88 in dbase; (describe depths in comments)													
									In lab	In lab			

Event Type	Sample Type	Only enter if multiple distances are taken										
		Field Measure	*Depth Collect (m only)	*Distance from Bank	Velocity (fps)	Air temp C	H2O temp C	pH	O2 mg/L	O2 %	Specific Conductivity (mS uS /cm)	Turbidity ntu
WaterTox_Chem WaterChem WaterTox												
		SUBSURF/MID/BOTTOM/ ABOVE/THERMO/ BELOW										
		SUBSURF/MID/BOTTOM/ ABOVE/THERMO/ BELOW										
		SUBSURF/MID/BOTTOM/ ABOVE/THERMO/ BELOW										
				*Instrument:								
				*Calibration date								

Sample Comments: (failure of probe parameter should be marked as "probe failure")	Meter Used: _____
	Prop used: <div>AA / Mini</div>
	_____ rev. @ _____ (sec)

SWAMP

Deep Water Sampling Event

*Station ID:

*Project ID:

PG: OF PGS

*Sample Season:

Entered Dbase

Date:

[illegible]

Sample Comments:	Prop used:	AA / Mini
	Meter Used: _____ rev. @ _____ (sec)	

APPENDIX G

Dichotomous Key for Fish Kill Investigations

After the initial visual inspection of the scene, an investigator can sometimes make preliminary assumptions about the cause of a fish kill. By using a process of elimination based on the evidence at hand, certain types of causes may be highly unlikely. A dichotomous key is provided below as an example of how the thought process might proceed. This key is offered as a tool—not as a definitive reference—for assessing fish kills. Opportunities to use the key to help reach a presumptive conclusion concerning the cause of a fish kill are provided in Chapter 13. Seven case histories are described to help potential investigators test their skill in evaluating the information that became available during the on-site investigation. Although the thought process would be the same for ponds, lakes, streams, and estuaries, most of the examples used in preparing the key were taken from data on fish kills in ponds. In streams, where evidence at the site may be transitory because of the flow, the investigator may have to check downstream to attempt to reconstruct the scene.

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Kill occurred in less than 24 hours..... 2 | 9. Kill occurred between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.10 |
| 1. Not known when kill occurred, or kill continued for longer than 24 hours 16 | 9. Kill occurred at other times as well23 |
| 2. Kill occurred between midnight and sunrise..... 3 | 10. pH above 9.0 11 |
| 2. Kill occurred at times other than between midnight and sunrise 8 | 10. pH not above 9.0 14 |
| 3. Water dark in color, musty odor, or odor of sour cabbage..... 4 | 11. Dissolved oxygen high, often saturated, or near saturation.....12 |
| 3. Water conditions normal in color and odor 6 | 11. Dissolved oxygen low or near normal for water temperature recorded 13 |
| 4. Some fish alive..... 5 | 12. Heavy bloom of one or more species of blue-green algae ... Toxic algal bloom |
| 4. All fish dead..... 16 | 12. Heavy bloom of dinoflagellate algae Toxic algal bloom |
| 5. Large fish dead, some small fish alive.... 6 | 13. Vegetation dead (appears burned) 14 |
| 5. Small fish dead, some large fish alive 18 | 13. Vegetation normal 15 |
| 6. Dissolved oxygen less than 2 ppm.... 7 | 14. Ammonia levels not high, near zero .. 15 |
| 6. Dissolved oxygen 2 ppm or more 9 | 14. Ammonia levels high Anhydrous ammonia spill |
| 7. Algal cells absent or dead if present 8 | 15. pH 6.0 to 7.0 Oxygen depletion |
| 7. Algal cells present and alive 10 | 15. pH below 6.0 Possible lethal low pH or heavy metal poisoning; possible mine drainage |
| 8. Dead algal cells abundant Oxygen depletion due to enrichment | 16. Some fish still alive..... 17 |
| 8. Algal cells absent Oxygen depletion due to algicidal substance | 16. All fish dead..... 23 |
| | 17. Kill size selective 18 |
| | 17. Kill not size selective 25 |
| | 18. Some small fish alive, large fish dead..... 6 |
| | 18. Small fish dead, some large fish alive..... 19 |
| | 19. Zooplankton and insects alive 7 |
| | 19. Zooplankton and insects dead 20 |
| | 20. Algal cells alive 21 |
| | 20. Algal cells dead or absent..... Toxic herbicidal substance |
| | 21. Fish showing convulsive or aberrant behavior 22 |
| | 21. Fish seemingly normal 24 |
| | 22. Fins in normal position..... 23 |
| | 22. Pectoral fins of fish thrust to extreme forward position Organophosphate pesticide |
| | 23. Kill occurred throughout day..... Pesticide poisoning |
| | 23. Kill occurred between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Toxic algal bloom (see also 11) |
| | 24. Recent temporary major change in water temperature Temperature kill (as from shut-down of thermal power generating plant or plant exceeding the allowed ΔT in discharge) |

- | | | |
|--|--|----|
| 24. Normal seasonal change in water temperature | Temperature falls below or exceeds thermal tolerance—e.g., die-off of threadfin shad in cold weather; kill usually restricted to one species | |
| 25. Species selectivity evident | | 26 |
| 25. No species selectivity evident | Very high level of a toxic substance | |
| 26. Lesions evident on fish | | 27 |
| 26. No lesions on fish | Low toxicity or low concentration of toxic substance (see also 23) | |
| 27. Organisms in lesions visible to naked eye | | 28 |
| 27. No organisms visible | | 29 |
| 28. Organisms wormlike, attached to external surface of fish | Leeches (not a cause of death) | |
| 28. Organisms resemble copepods or have jointed body parts | Parasitic copepods or isopods (known to kill fish) | |
| | Lesions not hemorrhagic | 30 |
| | Lesions hemorrhagic | |
| | Possible bacterial or viral cause | |
| | Lesions as small discrete bodies or masses in tissues | 31 |
| | Lesions appear as gray, yellow, or white areas on body | |
| | Bacterial or fungal cause | |
| | Lesion or mass filled with cellular material | |
| | Cysts caused by sporozoans, protozoans (such as <i>Ichthyophthirius</i>), or helminths | |
| | Lesion or mass filled with gas | 32 |
| | Bubbles of gas present in gills, fins, and behind eyes | |
| | Gas bubble disease, due to supersaturation with a gas | |
| | Odorous gas in large bubbles in necrotic lesions | |
| | Bacterial disease caused by <i>Edwardsiella tarda</i> | |



Chronic exposure to sublethal levels of contaminants may lead to tumors or other adverse effects in surviving fish. Public concern is heightened when melanomas, papillomas, and other anomalies, such as those on this black bullhead, are seen on fish.